## Approved For Release 2000/0

FOUNDED JUNE 10, 1841

J. HOWARD WOOD, Publisher

W. D. MAXWELL, Editor

## WHAT FOREIGN POLICY? advisers that they never

said. It also prompts an obvious question can shift to the advice of the doves, who which the Republican leaders of Congress

the Senate and the House, in demanding such place that the administration explain what its This isn't a foreign policy; it is the in Washington today."

And well it might be. Wherever trouble Congress and of the people. arises, we seem to have two policies. One is to denounce in firm words any threat to freedom or to our own security; then, having thus shaken our fist, we sit down again to wait and see, while the administration tells us that there is really nothing to get excited about.

The communist "military presence" in Cuba was long ago labeled intolerable, yet it has been and still is being tolerated. What, the Republicans ask, became of the on-site inspection plans which, we thought, had been agreed upon by President Kennedy and Premier Khrushchev? "No one seems to know."

In Laos the agreement on a neutralist regime was hailed as an end to the communist threat in that country. "If the Communists were to dominate this country." Mr. Kennedy said of Laos in 1961, "it would endanger the security and peace of all southeast Asia." Yet today the neutralist leader, Prince Scuvanna Phouma, concedes that he is all but powerless against the Communists.

The administration has made strong pronouncements about the importance of NATO and about free trade with an expanded Eu. cpean Common Market. Yet, as the Republicans point out, "President Kennedy's co-called grand design for Eurepe is now Deperative," and the NATO alliance, idespite a modest recovery attempt ast weet at O'tawa, is in a dountful to an argina ratio, and publicy mishap or Kennedy adan Contraction 

The White House seems to be so full of Sen. J. William Fulbright's preposterous agreeing, and the President is trying to suggestion that Congress be deprived of the coast seems clear he can follow the the power to overrule the President, especially in regard to foreign policy, violates the principles of the Republic as we have the principles of the Republic, as we have talk brings forth scowls from Moscow, he

have now risen to ask: What foreign policy? preach peace at all costs; and our stern Ten other Republicans joined Sen. Dirk- warnings are lost in a muddy stream of sen and Rep. Halleck, minority leaders of conversation at the United Nations or some

foreign policy is-if it has one. Discover- attempt to escape from one. Yet this is ing what our foreign policy is, the Repub- the so-called policy which Mr. Fulbright, licans said, is "one of the major problems chairman of the Senate foreign relations committee, would exempt from the will of